

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,016	OCHEM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert C. Scheibel	2616	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Robert C. Scheibel

(3) _____

 (2) John Musone (Reg. No. 44,961)

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 August 2007
Time: 12:30 PM EDT
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed the changes described in detail in the attached Examiner's Amendment. These changes clarify claim 21 and remove legal phraseology from the abstract. Applicant agreed to these changes.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 8-30-07
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)